

Sources & further reading

Optional reading. The case supplies the concepts and evidence needed to begin.

Begin with the authors

Gershon Shafir and Yoav Peled. *Being Israeli: The Dynamics of Multiple Citizenship*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

Shafir and Peled explain citizenship as an incorporation regime: institutions distribute membership, rights, duties, and resources through a changing hierarchy. Liberal claims emphasize equal individuals; republican claims connect standing to recognized contribution; ethno-national claims privilege national membership. These claims can combine within a single rule. The game asks students to trace how resources and alliances change institutional authority, and how rules make rights effective—or limit their reach. The historical health-insurance reform makes that mechanism concrete: expanded entitlement also changed the Histadrut's organizational power. Students then reconstruct the authors' democratic multiculturalism, combining individual protection, social provision, and minority cultural authority. Accurate explanation comes before evaluation. Strong work can defend the framework, qualify a prediction, or favor an alternative when the evidence supports it.

The framework

Printed pp.4–16. The three citizenship discourses, institutions, rights to rights, and the incorporation regime.

A reform changes rights and organizational power

Printed pp.227–229; pp.296–302 for the fuller account. The Histadrut coalition, national health insurance, expanded coverage, and the authors' social-rights argument.

Democratic multiculturalism

Printed pp.343–348. Individual protection, redistribution, minority cultural authority, and the authors' wider proposed transformation.

The linked publisher PDF is an introduction excerpt. The health-reform and conclusion passages require access to the complete book.

[Open the publisher's introduction excerpt →](#)

Two optional extensions

Yoav Peled (2026), “The Ascendancy of Ethno-National Populism in Israel, 1977–2022: An Ethno-Class Analysis,” *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics* 32(3):337–360.

Abstract verified; the game does not claim full-text access.

Peled considers nationalist mobilization through economic gains and losses. Does this challenge an expectation about which coalition becomes stronger, the institutional mechanism, or both? Keep its population and period separate from the CBS employment table.

[Open the author-institution abstract →](#)

Nadim N. Rouhana and Areej Sabbagh-Khoury (2015), “Settler-colonial citizenship: conceptualizing the relationship between Israel and its Palestinian citizens,” *Settler Colonial Studies* 5(3):205–225.

The game’s comparison draws on the verified abstract, not claimed full-text reading.

This account centers the settler-colonial relationship. Compare what it foregrounds with Shafir and Peled’s institutional hierarchy. What additional evidence would distinguish a competing explanation from a complementary level of analysis?

[Open the article record →](#)

Read each kind of evidence for its own claim

The game separates the authors’ 2002 argument from later dated laws, court records, statistics, monitoring reports, and institutional assessments. Check the source, population, date, and deciding authority before comparing outcomes. The source drawer retains the complete packet and its limitations.

Samira’s decisions and conditional grant outcomes are constructed for teaching. The Jalud file uses a dated documentary sequence; a learner’s advocacy choice does not change that reported record. Illustrations are conceptual art, not documentary images, maps, or evidence.

Further reading is an invitation

Use these readings to deepen, challenge, or test an explanation. Abstract-level summaries should generate questions for full-text reading, not stand in for a complete article analysis.

[Return to the case and its source drawer →](#)